FROM $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-MODULES TO HOMOTOPY COSHEAVES

FILIPP LEVIKOV

ABSTRACT. We construct a functor from the category of $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-modules of Ranicki (cf. [Ran92]) to the category of homotopy cosheaves of chain complexes of Ranicki-Weiss (cf. [RW10]) inducing an equivalence on L-theory. The L-theory of $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-modules is central in the algebraic formulation of the surgery exact sequence and in the construction of the total surgery obstruction by Ranicki, as described in [Ran79]. The symmetric L-theory of homotopy cosheaf complexes is used by Ranicki-Weiss in [RW10], to reprove the topological invariance of rational Pontryagin classes. The work presented here may be considered as an addendum to the latter article and suggests some translation of ideas of Ranicki into the language of homotopy chain complexes of cosheaves.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [RW10], Ranicki and Weiss reprove the topological invariance of rational Pontrjagin classes by constructing for a topological manifold M a symmetric L-theory orientation whose rationalization is identified with the Poincaré dual of the total L-class. For a locally compact, Hausdorff and separable space X, they introduce the category $\mathcal{D}_X$ of "cosheaf"-like complexes of abelian groups and a framework for defining L-theory in this setting. All this structure is assembled into what in the following is called the weak algebraic bordism category $\mathcal{K}_X$. Associating to X the symmetric L-theory spectrum of $\mathcal{K}_X$ gives a functor from spaces to spectra

$$X \mapsto \mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{K}_X)$$

which is homotopy invariant and excisive and thus is equivalent to symmetric L-homology. Although not dealt with in [RW10] the corresponding functor to quadratic L-theory

$$X \mapsto \mathbf{L}_\bullet(\mathcal{K}_X)$$

is constructed in an analogous way. On the other hand, for a realisation of a simplicial complex X the framework of [Ran92] leads to the definition of a symmetric (resp. quadratic) L-theory spectrum of the algebraic bordism category $\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)}$ [1] of chain complexes of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules over X. For a simplicial complex there are functors to spectra

$$X \mapsto \mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad X \mapsto \mathbf{L}_\bullet(\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)})$$

[1]In the original source [Ran92] the category is denoted by $\Lambda_*(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ with the cagegory of $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-modules $(\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{Z}, X))$ being the underlying additive category with chain duality. See section 2.2 for the notation.

where the quadratic L-homology description is even more important since it is part of the construction of Ranicki's total surgery obstruction $s(X)$. Although it is never put like this in [RW10] the authors set out to achieve the goal described in [Ran92, p.19]: "the ultimate version of the algebraic L-theory assembly map should be topologically invariant, using the language of sheaf theory [...]". Since the symmetric (resp. quadratic) L-groups of the above categories (i.e. the homotopy groups of the corresponding L-theory spectra) are just the $\mathbf{L}^\bullet$- (resp. $\mathbf{L}_\bullet$-) homology groups, they coincide abstractly. Let $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ denote the category of chain complexes underlying $\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)}$. The goal of this article is to construct an explicit, geometric natural transformation of functors

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, -) \to \mathcal{D}_{(-)}$$

inducing an equivalence on $\mathbf{L}^\bullet$ and $\mathbf{L}_\bullet$ for every polyhedron X. The objects in $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ can be viewed as covariant functors, i.e. cosheaves over open stars of X. The constructed equivalence is geometric in the sense that it is given by canonically extending a $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-module to a homotopy cosheaf.

In the first four sections we recall all the background definitions. In section 2 we clarify what our framework for L-theory is going to be. In sections 3 and 4 we collect the definitions of the categories $\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)}$ resp. $\mathcal{K}_X$. In the remaining sections, the original work is presented. For a fixed simplicial complex X we construct a functor from $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ to $\mathcal{D}_X$ giving rise to the functor $\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)} \to \mathcal{K}_X$ in section 5 and prove its naturality. In section 6 we define a natural transformation between the derived products of the latter categories and show that it preserves non-degeneracy. The final theorem is stated in section 7. A few remarks on earlier work on this subject are made in section 8. The final section is an appendix containing some remarks on homotopy (co)limits in the category of chain complexes.

2. REMARKS ON L-THEORY

2.1. **L-theory of additive categories without explicit chain duality.** In [Ran92] a very general framework for L-theory is given. An algebraic bordism category consists of an additive category $\mathbb{A}$ with chain duality, a subcategory of the category of chain complexes in $\mathbb{A}$ and a subcategory of "contractible" complexes. Symmetric and quadratic L-groups as well as the corrensponding spectra are defined for every such category. The most natural way of comparing the constructions of [Ran92] and [RW10] would be to construct a functor of algebraic bordism categories and to show that it induces an isomorphism on L-groups. However, the structure of an algebraic bordism category is unsuitable for the homotopy cosheaves of [RW10]. It turns out to be difficult to define a chain duality: the

duals are only given implicitly since the objects are not finitely generated in general. Instead, in [RW10] a slight modified setting is presented. In the following we will only deal with L-theory in this setting.

A chain duality is needed to pass from chain complexes in $\mathbb{A}$ to chain complexes of abelian groups. When there is a chain complex and an action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ on it, its homotopy fixed points (resp. homotopy orbits) can be considered and the rest is *as usual* as L-theorists would put it. That is exactly what the formalism of [RW10] establishes by defining a "chain product". The crucial properties of a product suitable for doing L-theory are extracted in [WW98, WW00]. Therefore it is not surprising that the axioms of a chain product below resemble very much those for an SW-product. In our situation however the underlying category is still additive, so in fact the main difference to [Ran92] seems to lie in the lack of an explicit duality. We elaborate on this in the following.

Definition 2.1. Given an additive category $\mathcal{A}$ consider the category $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ of chain complexes in $\mathcal{A}$ bounded from below and from above. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a full subcategory closed under mapping cones and containing all contractible complexes in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$. A complex in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ will be called *$\mathcal{C}$-contractible* if and only if it belongs to $\mathcal{C}$. A morphism in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ will be called a *$\mathcal{C}$-equivalence* or simply *homotopy equivalence* if and only if its mapping cone is $\mathcal{C}$-contractible. Further let $\mathcal{D}$ be a full subcategory of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ closed under suspension, desuspension, homotopy equivalence, direct sum and mapping cone. A *chain product*[2] on $\mathcal{D}$ is a functor to chain complexes of abelian groups

$$\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \to Ch(\mathcal{A}b), (C, D) \mapsto C \boxtimes D$$

satisfying

(1) for $D \in \mathcal{D}, C \mapsto C \boxtimes D$ takes $\mathcal{C}$-contractible objects to contractible ones and preserves homotopy pushouts,

(2) there is a binatural isomorphism $\tau : C \boxtimes D \to D \boxtimes C$ and $\tau^2 = id$,

The tuple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \boxtimes)$ is called an additive category with chain product.

Remark 2.2. The category $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ is a model category with cofibrations given by valuewise split injections and weak equivalences given by chain homotopy equivalences which are $\mathcal{C}$-equivalences. We will write $\mathcal{HB}(\mathcal{A})$ for the corresponding homotopy category, i.e. for the localisation of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ with respect to $\mathcal{C}$-equivalences. We will write $\mathcal{HD}$ for the correpsonding homotopy category of $\mathcal{D}$ which necessarily becomes a (triangulated) subcategory of $\mathcal{HB}(\mathcal{A})$. Since homology is homotopy invariant there is an induced bifunctor

$$\mathcal{HD} \times \mathcal{HD} \to \mathcal{A}b, \quad (C, D) \mapsto H_0(C \boxtimes D).$$

Definition 2.3. In the situation of the previous definition we call $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \boxtimes)$ a *weak algebraic bordism category* if for each $C \in \mathcal{D}$ the functor

$$D \mapsto H_0(C \boxtimes D)$$

$$D \mapsto H_n(C \boxtimes D)$$

---

[2]This should not be confused with the chain product of [RW12, Def. 5.3]. Our chain product is per definition a bifunctor on chain complexes in $\mathcal{A}$.

become corepresentable in $\mathcal{HD}$ for all n with corepresenting objects given by the complex $TC[-n] = \Sigma^n TC$.

Definition 2.4. An n-cycle ϕ in $C \boxtimes D$ is called nondegenerate if and only if its adjoint $\Sigma^n TC \to D$ is a homotopy equivalence.

There is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action on $C \boxtimes C$ via the operator τ. Let W denote the standard free $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$-module resolution of the trivial $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$-module $\mathbb{Z}$. In fact due to well known homological algebra any resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ by projective $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$-modules is sufficient in the following.

Definition 2.5. Denote by $(C \boxtimes C)^{h\mathbb{Z}_2}$ the homotopy fixed points of $C \boxtimes C$ given by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]}(W, C \boxtimes C).$$

If $f : C \to D$ is a map of chain complexes denote by $f^{h\mathbb{Z}_2}$ the corresponding induced map $(C \boxtimes C)^{h\mathbb{Z}_2} \to (D \boxtimes D)^{h\mathbb{Z}_2}$. For a chain $\phi \in (C \boxtimes C)^{h\mathbb{Z}_2}$ we will indicate by ϕ_0 the projection[3] to $C \boxtimes C$. We call a cycle ϕ in $(C \boxtimes C)^{h\mathbb{Z}_2}$ nondegenerate if and only if ϕ_0 is nondegenerate.

A symmetric algebraic Poincaré complex (SAPC) of dimension n in $\mathcal{D}$ is a pair (C, ϕ) with C a chain complex in $\mathcal{D}$ and ϕ a nodegenerate cycle in $(C \boxtimes C)^{h\mathbb{Z}_2}$. A symmetric algebraic Poincaré pair (SAPP) of dimension $n + 1$ is a triple

$$(f : C \to D, \delta\phi, \phi)$$

with f a map of chain complexes in $\mathcal{D}$ and $(\delta\phi, \phi)$ a nondegenerate cycle in $Cone(f^{h\mathbb{Z}_2})$. The last condition means that ϕ is an n-cycle in $(C \boxtimes C)^{h\mathbb{Z}_2}$, $\delta\phi$ an $(n + 1)$-chain in $(D \boxtimes D)^{h\mathbb{Z}_2}$ satisfying $f^{h\mathbb{Z}_2}(\phi) = \partial\delta\phi$, ϕ is nondegenerate in $H_n(C \boxtimes C)$ and the image of $\delta\phi$ is nondegenerate in $H_{n+1}(D \boxtimes Cone(f))$.

Two symmetric algebraic Poincaré complexes (C, ϕ) and (C', ϕ') are called *bordant* if and only if there exist a SAPP $(C, \delta\phi, \phi \oplus -\phi')$.

Analogously one can make the following

Definition 2.6. Denote by $(C \boxtimes C)_{h\mathbb{Z}_2}$ the homotopy orbits of $C \boxtimes C$ given by

$$W \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]} C \boxtimes C.$$

For a map $f : C \to D$ of chain complexes write $f_{h\mathbb{Z}_2}$ for the induced map

$$(C \boxtimes C)_{h\mathbb{Z}_2} \to (D \boxtimes D)_{h\mathbb{Z}_2}.$$

For a chain $\phi \in (C \boxtimes C)_{h\mathbb{Z}_2}$ let ϕ_0 the projection[4] to $C \boxtimes C$. A cycle ϕ in $(C \boxtimes C)_{h\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is called nondegenerate if and only if $(1 + \tau)\phi_0$ is nondegenerate.

A quadratic algebraic Poincaré complex (QAPC) of dimension n in $\mathcal{D}$ is a pair (C, ϕ) with C a chain complex in $\mathcal{D}$ and ϕ a nodegenerate cycle in $(C \boxtimes C)_{h\mathbb{Z}_2}$. A quadratic algebraic Poincaré pair (QAPP) of dimension $n + 1$ is a triple

$$(f : C \to D, \delta\phi, \phi)$$

---

[3]This is given by the image under ϕ of the generator 1 in W_0

[4]This is given by projecting ϕ to $1 \otimes \phi_0$ first, where 1 is the generator of W_0

with f a map of chain complexes in $\mathcal{D}$ and $(\delta\phi, \phi)$ a nondegenerate cycle in $Cone(f_{h\mathbb{Z}_2})$, i.e. ϕ is an n-cycle in $(C \boxtimes C)_{h\mathbb{Z}_2}$, $\delta\phi$ an $(n+1)$-chain in $(D \boxtimes D)_{h\mathbb{Z}_2}$ satisfying $f^{h\mathbb{Z}_2}(\phi) = \partial\delta\phi$, ϕ is nondegenerate in $H_n(C \boxtimes C)$ and the image of $\delta\phi$ is nondegenerate in $H_{n+1}(D \boxtimes Cone(f))$.

Two quadratic algebraic Poincaré complexes (C, ϕ) and (C', ϕ') are called *bordant* if and only if there exist a QAPP $(C, \delta\phi, \phi \oplus -\phi')$.

Definition 2.7. The n-dimensional symmetric L-groups $L^n(\mathcal{K}) = L^n(\mathcal{D})$ of a weak algebraic bordism category $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \boxtimes)$ are defined to be the bordism groups of n-dimensional SAPC's in $\mathcal{D}$. The n-dimensional quadratic L-groups $L_n(\mathcal{K}) = L_n(\mathcal{D})$ are the bordism groups of n-dimensional QAPC's in $\mathcal{D}$.

The following describes a general principle going back to Quinn of interpreting L-groups as homotopy groups of certain L-spectra. Details can be found in [Ran92, Ch12,13] and also in [RW12]. The framework in [LM14] is more modern and more general.

Proposition 2.8. *In the above setting one can construct an Ω-spectrum of Kan-$\triangle$-sets $\mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{K})$ out of m-ads of n-dimensional SAPC's in $\mathcal{D}$ with the property that*

$$\pi_n(\mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{K})) = L^n(\mathcal{K}).$$

Similarly there exists an Ω-spectrum of Kan-$\triangle$-sets $\mathbf{L}_\bullet(\mathcal{K})$ with the propery

$$\pi_n(\mathbf{L}_\bullet(\mathcal{K})) = L_n(\mathcal{K}).$$

To compare L-groups of different categories we will need the following

Definition 2.9. Given two weak algebraic bordism categories $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \boxtimes)$ and $\mathcal{K}' = (\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{D}', \mathcal{C}', \boxtimes')$. A functor $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ is called a *functor of weak algebraic bordism categories* if

(1) F is exact in the sense that it preserves cofibrations and weak equivalences, takes $\mathcal{C}$ into $\mathcal{C}'$ and $\mathcal{D}$ into $\mathcal{D}'$,

(2) there exists a natural transformation $h = h_{C,D} : C \boxtimes D \to F(C) \boxtimes' F(D)$ commuting with the symmetry operator and taking nondegenerate cycles to nondegenerate ones.

Proposition 2.10. *A functor of weak algebraic bordism categories induces maps of spectra*

$$F^\bullet : \mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{K}) \to \mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{K}') \qquad F_\bullet : \mathbf{L}_\bullet(\mathcal{K}) \to \mathbf{L}_\bullet(\mathcal{K}')$$

and hence maps between corresponding L-groups.

Proof. Thinking on the level of the L-groups the statement looks obvious, since the natural transformation h implies that F maps Poincaré objects to Poincaré objects and bordant objects to bordant ones: A SAPC (C, ϕ) in $\mathcal{D}$ gives rise to a SAPC $(F(C), h(\phi))$ in $\mathcal{D}'$. If two SAPC's (C, ϕ), (C', ϕ') are bordant via

$$(f : C \oplus C', \delta\phi, \phi \oplus -\phi')$$

then their images (C, ϕ), (C', ϕ') are bordant via

$$(F(f) : F(C) \oplus F(C'), h(\delta\phi), h(\phi \oplus -\phi')).$$

Now to lift this to a spectrum map observe that the assignment $(C, \phi) \mapsto (\mathcal{F}, h(\phi))$ respects the gluing constructions of $[m]$-ads and generalises to a map of $[m]$-ads of SAPC in $\mathcal{D}$ to $[m]$-ads of SAPC in $\mathcal{D}'$, which is well defined because of the above. Hence it gives rise to an induced map of Kan $\triangle$-sets and corresponding Ω-spectra. The quadratic case is analogous. See also [Ran92, §13] for this sort of reasoning.

$\square$

2.2. **Algebraic Bordism Categories.** First an elementary observation. Let $\mathbb{A}$ be an additive category and $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A})$ the category of (bounded) chain complexes in $\mathbb{A}$. A contravariant additive functor

$$T : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A})$$

can be extended to a contravariant additive functor

$$T : \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A})$$

simply by taking the total complex of the double complex arising by applying T degreewise. Now the following definition makes sense.

Definition 2.11. Let $\mathbb{A}$ be an additive category and $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A})$ the category of chain complexes in $\mathbb{A}$. Given a contravariant additive functor $T : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A})$ and a natural transformation $e : T^2 \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{A}}$ the triple $(\mathbb{A}, T, e)$ is called an *additive category with chain duality* if and only if

(1) $e(T(A)) \circ T(e(A)) = \mathrm{id}_{T(A)}$,

(2) $e(A) : T^2(A) \to A$ is a chain equivalence.

Definition 2.12. Given an additive category with chain duality $(\mathbb{A}, T, e)$ one defines a product of two objects $M, N \in \mathbb{A}$ by

$$M \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} N = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(TM, N)$$

which can be extended to a product of two chain complexes $C, D \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A})$

$$C \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} N = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(TC, D).$$

The duality functor T induces a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action on $C \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} C$. The definitions of symmetric and quadratic Poincaré complexes and pairs in $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A})$ carry over verbatim from above. The symmetric (resp. quadratic) L- groups $L^n(\mathbb{A})$ (resp. $L_n(\mathbb{A})$) as bordism groups of SAPC's (resp. QAPC's) in $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A})$.

Now we can slightly generalise this notion by restricting the choice of chain complexes or allowing $T^2(A) \to A$ to be a "weaker" equivalence.

Definition 2.13. Let $\mathbb{A}$ be an additive cateogory. Given a full subcategory $\mathbb{C}$ of the category of (bounded) chain complexes $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A})$ which is closed under mapping cones, a chain complex $C \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A})$ is called $\mathbb{C}$-*contractible* if C is in $\mathbb{C}$. A chain map $f : C \to D$ is called a $\mathbb{C}$-equivalence if the mapping cone $Cone(f)$ is in $\mathbb{C}$. Assume now $(\mathbb{A}, T, e)$ is an additive category with chain duality and two subcategories $\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C}$ of $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A})$ are specified which are closed under mapping cones and $\mathbb{C}$ is contained in $\mathbb{B}$. A triple $\Lambda = (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C})$ is called an *algebraic bordism category* if and only if for each $C \in \mathbb{B}$

(1) the mapping cone $Cone(\mathrm{id}_C)$ is in $\mathbb{C}$,

(2) the chain equivalence $T^2(C) \to C$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-equivalence.

Definition 2.14. Given an algebraic bordism category $\Lambda = (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C})$ we can follow the above recipe to define symmetric and quadratic algebraic Poincaré complexes respectively pairs in $\mathbb{B}$. A cycle $\phi \in C \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} C$ is considered nondegenerate here if and only if the mapping cone of its adjoint is a $\mathbb{C}$-equivalence. The symmetric (resp. quadratic) L-groups $L^n(\Lambda)$ (resp. $L_n(\Lambda)$) of $\Lambda = (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C})$ are then defined as bordism groups of SAPC's (resp. QAPC's) in $\mathbb{B}$.

Example 2.15. Let R be a ring with involution ι. Let $\mathbb{A}(R)$ be the category of f.g. projective left R-modules. Define $T : \mathbb{A}(R) \to \mathbb{A}(R) \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A}(R))$ by mapping a module M to $M^{*t} = Hom_R^t(-, R)$ where the superscript t indicates that the right R-module M^* is viewed as a left module via the involution. Let $\mathbb{B}(R)$ consist of finite chain complexes of f.g. projective left R-modules and $\mathbb{C}(R)$ of contractible ones. This defines an algebraic bordism category $\Lambda = (\mathbb{A}(R), \mathbb{B}(R), \mathbb{C}(R))$ and the symmetric (resp. quadratic) L-groups $L^n(\Lambda)$ (resp. $L_n(\Lambda)$ are the symmetric (resp. quadratic) L-groups of the ring with involution R. For the group ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ and the canonical involution the quadratic groups $L_n(\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ are the (projective) surgery obstruction groups of Wall. The symmetric groups are the nonperiodic versions of symmetric L-groups of Mishchenko.

Here is the main example of a weak algebraic bordism category.

Example 2.16. Given an algebraic bordism category $\Lambda = (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\mathbb{C}$ contains chain contractible complexes in $\mathbb{B}$ the category $\mathcal{K}_\Lambda = (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C}, \boxtimes_T)$ is a weak algebraic bordism category where $C \boxtimes_T D := C \otimes D$

Remark 2.17. There is a notion of a functor of algebraic bordism categories. Such a functor induces maps of L-spectra and L-groups. We will not make use of this notion here. It is however important to notice that such a functor gives rise to a functor of the corresponding weak algebraic bordism categories. This will be used later.

3. THE ALGEBRAIC BORDISM CATEGORY $\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$

Let X be a simplicial complex. In this section we recall the definition of the $\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$. The reference is [Ran92, §4 et seq.].

Definition 3.1.

(1) Let $\mathbb{A}$ be an additive category. An object $M \in \mathbb{A}$ is X-based if it is expressed as a direct sum
$$M = \sum_{\sigma \in X} M(\sigma)$$
of objects $M(\sigma) \in \mathbb{A}$ s.t. $\{\sigma \in X \mid M(\sigma) \neq 0\}$ is finite. A morphism $f : M \to N$ of X-based objects is a collection of morphisms in $\mathbb{A}$
$$\{f(\tau, \sigma) : M(\sigma) \to N(\tau) \mid \sigma, \tau \in X\}.$$

(2) Let $\mathbb{A}_*(X)$ be the additive category of X-based objects M with morphisms $f : M \to N$ s.t. $f(\tau, \sigma) : M(\sigma) \to N(\tau)$ is 0 unless $\tau \geq \sigma$ so that
$$f(M(\sigma)) \subseteq \sum_{\tau \geq \sigma} N(\tau).$$

(3) Forgetting the X-based structure defines the covariant *assembly* functor

$$\mathbb{A}_*(X) \to \mathbb{A}, \quad M \mapsto M^*(X) = \sum_{\sigma \in X} M(\sigma).$$

Definition 3.2. A $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-module is an X-based object in $\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{Z})$ denotes the additive category of free abelian groups.

Remark 3.3. A *free* $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-module on one generator M_σ is given by

$$M_\sigma(\tau) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z} & \sigma = \tau \\ 0 & \sigma \neq \tau \end{array} \right.$$

for some simplex $\sigma \in X$. Every $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-module is a direct sum of free $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-modules on one generator.

Here and in the following $\triangle_*(X)$ stands for the simplicial chain complex of a simplicial complex X.

Example 3.4. The simplicial cochain complex $\triangle(X)^{-*}$ of X is a finite chain complex in $\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{Z})_*(X)$ with

$$\triangle(X)^{-*}(\sigma) = S^{-|\sigma|}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Definition 3.5.

(1) Let $\mathbb{A}_*[X]$ be the category with objects the contravariant additive functors

$$M : X \to \mathbb{A}, \quad \sigma \mapsto M[\sigma]$$

s.t. $\{\sigma \mid M[\sigma] \neq 0\}$ is finite. The morphisms are natural transformations of such functors. Here we view X as a category consisting of simplices and face inclusions.

(2) We have a covariant functor

$$\mathbb{A}_*(X) \to \mathbb{A}_*[X], \quad M \mapsto [M], [M][\sigma] = \sum_{\tau \geq \sigma} M(\tau)$$

Remark 3.6. The assembly functor embeds $\mathbb{A}_*(X)$ into $\mathbb{A}_*[X]$ as a full subcategory. Furthermore, every object in $\mathbb{A}_*[X]$ can be obtained by taking (valuewise) direct sum of functors of the form $M_{[\sigma]}$ where the latter is the free abelian group generated by $\mathrm{Hom}_X(-, \sigma)$. We can use Remark 3.3 to identify $M_{[\sigma]} = [M_\sigma]$.

Remark 3.7. To simplify notation we will sometimes write M for $[M]$ in the hope that no confusion is caused. This is in particular reasonable when the type of brackets around the argument determines whether M is in $\mathbb{A}_*(X)$ or $\mathbb{A}_*[X]$: $M(\sigma)$ and $M[\sigma] = [M][\sigma] = \sum_{\tau \geq \sigma} M(\sigma)$.

Example 3.8. Given a simplicial complex Y denote by $D(\sigma, Y)$ the dual cell of σ and by $\partial D(\sigma, Y)$ its boundary i.e. the union of dual cells of simplices having σ as a proper face. A simplicial map $f : Y \to X$ gives rise to a complex of $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-modules C_f defined as

$$C_f(\sigma) = \triangle_*(f^{-1}D(\sigma, Y), f^{-1}\partial D(\sigma)).$$

We have

$$[C_f][\sigma] = \sum_{\tau \geq \sigma} C_f(\tau) = \triangle_*(f^{-1}D(\sigma, Y)).$$

Its assembly equals $\triangle_*(Y')$ – the simplicial chain complex of the barycentric subdivision of Y.

Proposition 3.9. [Ran92, 5.1] *Given an algebraic bordism category Λ and a locally finite simplicial complex X. The chain duality functor of Λ induces a chain duality functor on $\mathbb{A}_*(X)$. Let $\mathbb{B}_*(X)$ be the category of chain complexes B in $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A}_*(X))$ such that $B(\sigma)$ is in $\mathbb{B}$ for every σ and similarly for $\mathbb{C}_*(X)$. This makes the triple $\Lambda_*(X) = (\mathbb{A}_*(X), \mathbb{B}_*(X), \mathbb{C}_*(X))$ into an algebraic bordism category,*

Proposition 3.10. [Ran92, 5.6] *A simplicial map $f : X \to Y$ of finite (ordered) simplicial complexes induces a functor of algebraic bordism categories*

$$f_* : \Lambda_*(X) \to \Lambda_*(Y)$$

determined by the assignment $f_* M(\sigma) = \sum\limits_{\substack{\tau \in X \\ f\tau = \sigma}} M(\tau)$

Remark 3.11. If $\mathbb{C}_*(X)$ contains all contractible complexes in $\mathbb{B}_*(X)$, the above algebraic bordism category gives rise to a weak algebraic bordism category according to Ex. 2.16.

Let R in Ex. 2.15 be $\mathbb{Z}$ with the trivial involution and consider now the corresponding algebraic bordism category of *free* $\mathbb{Z}$-modules $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}))$. There is an algebraic bordism category $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}, X) = (\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{Z}, X), \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X), \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}, X))$ defined as $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})_*(X) = (\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{Z})_*(X), \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z})_*(X), \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z})_*(X))$ in Prop. 3.9 which due to the last remark defines the weak algebraic bordism category we will be dealing with in later sections.

Definition 3.12. For the algebraic bordism category $(\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{Z}, X), \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X), \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}, X))$ of $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-modules let

$$\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)} = (\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{Z}, X), \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X), \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}, X), \boxtimes_{\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)}})$$

denote the corresponding weak algebraic bordism category of $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-modules. The chain product is given by

$$M \boxtimes_{\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)}} N = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}_*(X)}(TM, N) = ([M] \otimes [N])_*[K] = \operatorname*{colim}_{\sigma \in X} [M][\sigma] \otimes [N][\sigma]$$

Theorem 3.13. [Ran92, §13] The symmetric (resp. quadratic) L-groups $L^n(\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)})$ (resp. $L_n(\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)})$) can be identified with the $\mathbf{L}^\bullet$-homology groups $H_n(X; \mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathbb{Z}))$ (resp. $\mathbf{L}_\bullet$-homology groups $H_n(X; \mathbf{L}_\bullet(\mathbb{Z}))$).

Definition 3.14. Let X be a simplicial complex such that its realisation is an n-dimensional closed manifold. Consider the $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-module chain complex C_{id_X} of Ex. 3.8. Over each simplex there is a refinement of the Alexander-Whitney diagonal approximation (cf. [Ran92, §6])

$$[C_{id_X}][\sigma] \to ([C_{id_X}][\sigma] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} [C_{id_X}][\sigma])^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

which fit together to give a map

$$[C_{id_X}][X] \to ([C_{id_X}][X] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} [C_{id_X}][X])^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}.$$

The image of the fundamental class of X under this is a nondegenerate cycle ϕ_X. The pair (C_{id_X}, ϕ_X) is a SAPC in $\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)}$ and defines a canonical class in $L^n(\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)})$ which will be denoted by $[X]_{\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)}}$. If the realisation of X is an n-dimensional

manifold with boundary, there is an analogously constructed canonical relative class $[X]_{\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)},\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},\partial X)}}$ in $L^n(\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)},\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},\partial X)})$.

4. RANICKI-WEISS COSHEAVES

The objects of this section are constructed in [RW10]. While the $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-module chain complexes can be viewed as chain complexes of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules labeled by open stars of a simplicial complex, the cosheaves of Ranicki and Weiss are labeled by open sets of a given (ENR) topological space. The main guiding example is Ex. 4.4. Dual cells are replaced by open subsets while the simplicial chain complex is replaced by the singular one. The analogous condition for a $\mathbb{Z}$-module of being X-based is expressed in the next definition.

Definition 4.1. Let X be a locally compact, Hausdorff and separable space and write $\mathcal{O}(X)$ for the category of open sets of X. Let F be a free abelian group with a basis B. We call F $\mathcal{O}(X)$-*based* if and only if there is a covariant functor $F : \mathcal{O}(X) \to \mathcal{A}b$ to abelian groups such that

(1) $F(\emptyset) = 0, \quad F(X) = F$,

(2) $F(U)$ is generated by a subset B_U of B,

(3) for $U, V \in \mathcal{O}(X), \quad F(U \cap V) = F(U) \cap F(V)$.

A morphism between two $\mathcal{O}(X)$-based abelian groups is a group homomorphism $f : F_0 \to F_1$ taking $F_0(U)$ to $F_1(U)$ for every open set $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$. Denote by $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_X$ the additive category of $\mathcal{O}(X)$-based groups over X.

Example 4.2. For any $i \geq 0$ let S be the i-th singular chain group of X $S_i(X)$ with B consisting of the singular i-simplices in X. Since for $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ the subgroup $S_i(U)$ of S is generated by i-simplices in X with image in U, it is obvious that S is $\mathcal{O}(X)$-based.

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the category of chain complexes in $\mathcal{A}$ which are bounded from below.

Example 4.4. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a map from a compact ENR Y. Define an object $C(f) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ by $C(f)(X) = S_*(f^{-1}(X))$ the singular chain complex of X with the standard basis and for $U \in \mathcal{O}(X), C(f)(U) \subset C(f)(X)$ the subcomplex generated by simplices with image in $f^{-1}(U)$.

Definition 4.5.

(1) An object $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfies the *sheaf type condition* if for any $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ the inclusion
$$\sum_{V \in \mathcal{W}} C(V) \to C(\bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{W}} V)$$
is a homotopy equivalence, where the sum on the left is taken inside $C(X)$.

(2) An object $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfies *finiteness condition (i)* if there exists an integer $a \geq 0$ such that: for every inclusion of open sets $V_1 \subset V_2$ with $\overline{V}_1 \subset V_2$, the induced inclusion $C(V_1) \subset C(V_2)$ factors up to homotopy through a complex D of finitely generated free abelian groups, bounded by a from above and from below.

(3) An object $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfies *finiteness condition (ii)* if there exists a compact subset K of X such that $C(U)$ depends only on $C(U \cap K)$. In this case, C is said to be supported in K.

We write $\mathcal{C}$ for the subcategory of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of objects satisfying all the above conditions. As usual, we write $\mathcal{C}_X, \mathcal{C}_Y$ etc. to emphasize the dependance on the space.

Remark 4.6. The example 4.4 satisfies all three conditions of the last definition.

Definition 4.7. A map $f : X \to Y$ induces a (covariant) pushforward functor

$$f_* : \mathcal{C}_X \to \mathcal{C}_Y$$

defined by $f_* C(U) = C(f^{-1}(U))$.

Lemma 4.8. [RW10, 3.9, 3.10] *Let C be in $\mathcal{C}_X$ and $\mathcal{W}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{O}(X)$.*

a) *If $\mathcal{W}$ is finite and closed under unions, inclusions induce a homotopy equivalence*

$$C(\bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{W}} V) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname*{holim}_{V \in \mathcal{W}} C(V).$$

b) *If $\mathcal{W}$ closed under intersections, the inclusions induce a homotopy equivalence*

$$\operatorname*{hocolim}_{V \in \mathcal{W}} C(V) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C(\bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{W}} V).$$

Remark 4.9. Let F be a contravariant functor $\mathcal{O}(X) \to \mathcal{H}$ with a notion of homotopy in the target category. Following the general principle of taking the homotopy limit instead of the ordinary one, F is called a homotopy sheaf in the literature if for every $W \in \mathcal{O}(X)$

$$F(W) \to \operatorname*{holim}_I F(V_I)$$

is a homotopy equivalence where I runs through finite intersections of open sets covering W. Property b) of the preceding lemma is dual to this criterion. Therefore it seems consistent to call objects satisfying Def. 4.5 and hence Lemma 4.8 b) *homotopy cosheaves* of chain complexes.

Definition 4.10. Denote by $\mathcal{C}''$ the full subcategory of objects for which $C(U)$ is contractible for all $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$. A morphism $f : C \to D$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is called *weak equivalence* if its mapping cone belongs to $\mathcal{C}''$

Remark 4.11. With the chain product defined below the tuple $(\mathcal{A}_X, \mathcal{C}_X, \mathcal{C}''_X, \boxtimes)$ defines a weak algebraic bordism category. The corresponding functor from X to L-theory of this does not satisfy excision though. To resolve this, a full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ is introduced in the following.

Definition 4.12. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the smallest full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying the following.

(1) All objects of $\mathcal{C}$ obtained from $f : \triangle^k \to X$ as in example 4.4 are in $\mathcal{D}$.

(2) If two of three objects in a short exact sequence $C \to D \to E$ are in $\mathcal{D}$ then is the third.

(3) All weakly contractible objects are in $\mathcal{D}$, i.e. $\mathcal{C}'' \subset \mathcal{D}$.

Remark 4.13. For a map $f : X \to Y$ and $C \in \mathcal{D}_X$ we have $f_* C \in \mathcal{D}_Y$.

Definition 4.14. Given two objects $C, D \in \mathcal{C}$. Define their chain product by

$$C \boxtimes D = \underset{\substack{U \subset X \, \mathrm{open}, \, K_1, K_2 \subset X \, \mathrm{closed} \\ K_1 \cap K_2 \subset U}}{\mathrm{holim}} C(U, U \setminus K_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} D(U, U \setminus K_2)$$

where the values of C resp. D on pairs are defined in the usual way as quotients.

Remark 4.15. A map $f : X \to Y$ induces a map of products

$$C \boxtimes D \xrightarrow{f^{\boxtimes}} f_* C \boxtimes f_* D$$

given by projections (i.e. specialisation to open sets in the preimage of f).

Proposition 4.16. [RW10, 7.3] *Mapping X to $\mathcal{D}_X$ is functorial and preserves duality, i.e. if $\phi \in C \boxtimes D$ is nondegenerate its image $f^{\boxtimes}(\phi)$ is also nondegenerate.*

Remark 4.17. Our exposition here is significantly shorter than in the original source [RW10]. A large part there is devoted to decomposability of $\mathcal{D}$, which is crucial for the excision property of $X \to \mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_X)$. Another issue to mention is that the authors do not work with the homotopy category $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{D}$. Instead, they introduce the subcategory $\mathcal{D}'$ of *free* objects, closed under taking duals, and show that every complex in $\mathcal{D}$ can be resolved by one in $\mathcal{D}'$. For the purpose of L-theory, this amounts to the same as working in $\mathcal{D}$ but replacing the homotopy category $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{D}$ by the localisation with respect to the bigger class of weak equivalences ($\mathcal{C}''$-equivalences), i.e. defining corepresentability and nondegeneracy by means of $\mathcal{C}''^{-1}\mathcal{D}$ instead of $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{D}$.

Definition 4.18. We write $\mathcal{K}_X$ for the weak algebraic bordism category $(\mathcal{A}_X, \mathcal{D}_X, \mathcal{C}''_X, \boxtimes)$ and $\mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_X)$ (resp. $\mathbf{L}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_X)$) for the corresponding L-theory spectra. Similar for L-groups.

Theorem 4.19. [RW10, section 8]
The covariant functor $X \mapsto \mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_X)$ satisfies homotopy invariance and excision.

Definition 4.20. Let X be a closed n-dimensional manifold. As in Ex. 4.4 its singular chain complex $S_*(X)$ defines the complex $C(id_X)$ in $\mathcal{D}_X$. There is a refinement of the Alexander-Whitney map (cf. [RW10, Ex. 5.6, 5.9])

$$S_*(X) \to (C(id_X) \boxtimes C(id_X))^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

such that the fundamental class of X is mapped to a nondegenerate cycle ϕ_X. The pair $(C(id_X), \phi_X)$ is a SAPC in $\mathcal{K}_X$ and defines a canonical class in $L^n(\mathcal{K}_X)$ denoted by $[X]_{\mathcal{K}_X}$. Analogously, a n-dimensional compact manifold with boundary $(X, \partial X)$ defines a SAPP $(C(id_{\partial X}) \hookrightarrow C(id_X), \phi_X, \phi_{X, \partial X})$ and thus defines a canonical relative class $[X, \partial X]_{\mathcal{K}_X}$ in $L^n(\mathcal{K}_X, \mathcal{K}_{\partial X})$.

5. THE FUNCTOR $\mathcal{F}$

Let C be in $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$. For the corresponding contravariant functor $[C] \in \mathbb{B}[\mathbb{Z}, X]$ it is natural to define a covariant functor $\tilde{C}$ on unions of open stars, which sends $U = \bigcup \mathring{st}(\sigma)$ to

$$\underset{\tau, \mathring{st}(\tau) \subset U}{\mathrm{colim}} C[\tau].$$

The idea of the following definition is to extend $\tilde{C}$ to a functor in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_X)$. We will usually write C for $[C]$.

Definition 5.1. We define a functor from the category $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ of $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-module chain complexes to the Ranicki-Weiss category $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_X)$ of chain complexes labeled by sets in $\mathcal{O}(X)$ as

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_X), \quad C \mapsto \mathcal{F}(C) : U \mapsto \int^{\sigma} C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(U \cap \sigma)$$

where $\int^{\sigma} C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(U \cap \sigma)$ is the coend of the functor

$$SC(U) : K^{op} \times K \to Ch(\mathcal{A}b), (\sigma, \tau) \mapsto C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(U \cap \tau)$$

and the latter is the singular chain complex of $U \cap \tau$.

Lemma 5.2. *In the above definition the functor sending (σ, τ) to $C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(U \cap \tau)$ is Reedy cofibrant and hence its coend is a model for the homotopy coend, i.e. there is a natural weak equivalence*

$$\int^{\sigma} C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(U \cap \sigma) \simeq \mathrm{ho}\int^{\sigma} C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(U \cap \sigma).$$

Remark 5.3. The functor $\mathcal{F}$ can be expressed as a global coend in the following way. View a simplex $\sigma \in X$ as a topological space and let $K(\sigma) \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$ denote the canonical complex $C(id_\sigma)$ as given in Ex. 4.4. Denote by ι_σ the inclusion of the topological space $|\sigma|$ into the realisation of X. We have

$$\mathcal{F}(C) = \int^{\sigma} C[\sigma] \otimes \iota_{\sigma*} K(\sigma)$$

Remark 5.4. Sometimes it is convenient to have the following description of $\mathcal{F}(C)$. In every degree k we have

$$\mathcal{F}(C)(U)_k = \bigoplus_{i+j=k} \bigoplus_{\sigma \in X} C(\sigma)_i \otimes S_j(U \cap \sigma).$$

The decomposition of an X-based chain complex is not respected by its differentials, however we have

$$d_k : \bigoplus_{i+j=k} \bigoplus_{\sigma \in X} C(\sigma)_i \otimes S_j(U \cap \sigma) \to \bigoplus_{\tilde{i}+\tilde{j}=k-1} \bigoplus_{\sigma \in X} C(\sigma)_{\tilde{i}} \otimes S_{\tilde{j}}(U \cap \sigma),$$

$$C(\sigma)_i \otimes S_j(U \cap \sigma) \to \bigoplus_{\tau \geq \sigma} C(\tau)_{i-1} \otimes S_j(U \cap \tau).$$

The next lemma shows that the functor $\mathcal{F}(C)$ is consistent with C and is indeed a (homotopy) extension of $\tilde{C}$.

Lemma 5.5. *If U is a union of open stars $\mathring{st}(\sigma)$, then $\mathcal{F}(C)(U)$ is naturally homotopy equivalent to $\tilde{C}(U)$. If (U, V) is a pair of unions of open stars then $\mathcal{F}(C)(U, V)$ is naturally homotopy equivalent to $\tilde{C}(U, V)$.*

Proof. Let us show the lemma for one open star first, i.e.

$$\int^{\sigma} C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap \mathring{st}(\tau)) \cong \operatorname*{colim}_{(\sigma \to \rho) \in X^{\natural}} C[\rho] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap \mathring{st}(\tau)) \simeq C[\tau].$$

Observe that $\sigma \cap \mathring{st}(\tau)$ is non-empty if and only if $\sigma \geq \tau$ and in the latter case we have

$$S_*(\sigma \cap \bigcup_{\rho \geq \tau} \mathring{\rho}) = S_*(\bigcup_{\rho \geq \tau} \sigma \cap \mathring{\rho}) \simeq \sum_{\rho \geq \tau} S_*(\sigma \cap \mathring{\rho}).$$

The right hand side is naturally chain homotopic to $\mathbb{Z}$ because every summand clearly is and the sum is taken inside the singular chain complex $S_*(\mathring{st}(\tau))$ of a contractible space $\mathring{st}(\tau)$. The above diagram satisfies the following property. If $\alpha \cap \mathring{st}(\tau) = \emptyset$ the value $C[\beta] \otimes S_*(\alpha \cap \mathring{st}(\tau))$ at any $\alpha \to \beta \in X^\natural$ is zero. Furthermore for all maps $(\tilde{\alpha} \to \tilde{\beta}) \to (\alpha \to \beta)$ the value at the source $C[\tilde{\beta}] \otimes S_*(\tilde{\alpha} \cap \mathring{st}(\tau))$ is zero as well since $\tilde{\alpha} \cap \mathring{st}(\tau) = \emptyset$. As a consequence the terms at $\alpha \to \beta$ with $\alpha \cap \mathring{st}(\tau) = \emptyset$ can be ignored when taking the colimit, i.e. $\{\alpha \to \beta \mid \alpha \cap \mathring{st}(\tau) \neq \emptyset\}$ is cofinal. Thus we have

$$\int^\sigma C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap \mathring{st}(\tau)) \cong \operatornamewithlimits{colim}_{\substack{(\sigma \to \rho) \in X^\natural \\ \text{s.t. } \tau \leq \sigma}} C[\rho] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap \mathring{st}(\tau)).$$

Since the subdiagram is (still) Reedy cofibrant the colimit is actually a homotopy colimit and we may write

$$\int^\sigma C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap \mathring{st}(\tau)) \simeq \operatornamewithlimits{hocolim}_{\substack{(\sigma \to \rho) \in X^\natural \\ \text{s.t. } \tau \leq \sigma}} C[\rho] \otimes \mathbb{Z} \cong \operatornamewithlimits{hocolim}_{\tau \leq \sigma \in X} C[\sigma] \simeq C[\tau]$$

where the last step is clear by cofinality. Let U be a union of open stars $U_i = \mathring{st}(\tau_i)$. Due to the subsequent lemmata $\mathcal{F}$ takes values in $\mathcal{C}_X$ and we may use Lemma 4.8b) to conclude

$$\mathcal{F}(C)(\bigcup_i U_i) \simeq \operatornamewithlimits{hocolim}_{U_i} \mathcal{F}(C)(U_i)$$

where the collection of the U_i is closed under intersections, since the intersection of open stars is an open star itself. Now each $\mathcal{F}(C)(U_i)$ is naturally homotopy equivalent to $\tilde{C}(U_i)$ and we may write

$$\operatornamewithlimits{hocolim}_{U_i} \mathcal{F}(C)(U_i) \simeq \operatornamewithlimits{hocolim}_{U_i \subset U} \tilde{C}(U_i) \simeq \operatornamewithlimits{hocolim}_{\substack{\tau_i \\ \mathring{st}(\tau_i) \subset U}} C[\tau_i] \simeq \tilde{C}(U)$$

where the last equivalence is due to the fact that $\operatornamewithlimits{colim}_{\tau, \mathring{st}(\tau) \subset U} C[\tau]$ computes the homotopy colimit. $\square$

Lemma 5.6. *Given $C \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$. Its image $\mathcal{F}(C)$ under $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the sheaf type condition.*

Proof. We have to show that for every subset $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{O}(X)$ the inclusion

$$\sum_{V \in \mathcal{W}} \int^\sigma C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap V) \to \int^\sigma C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{W}})$$

is a homotopy equivalence. For every fixed σ the inclusion

$$\sum_{V \in \mathcal{W}} C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap V) \to C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{W}})$$

is certainly a natural homotopy equivalence due to excision of the singular chain complex functor S_* (c.f eg. [Dol80, III, Prop 7.3]. Since the coends compute homotopy coends here, the induced map

$$\int^\sigma \sum_{V \in \mathcal{W}} C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap V) \to \int^\sigma C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{W}})$$

is also a homotopy equivalence. We have to convince ourselves that the (homotopy) coend and the internal sum of subcomplexes sitting inside

$$\int^\sigma C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap X)$$

commute. An analogue of Lemma 4.8b) shows that

$$\underset{V \in \mathcal{W}}{\mathrm{hocolim}}\, C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap V)$$

is naturally homotopy equivalent to $C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{W}})$ and since the (homotopy) coend is just a (homotopy) colimit, the interchange of sum and coend follows from a Fubini-like theorem for (homotopy) colimits. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 5.7. *For $C \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, $\mathcal{F}(C)$ satisfies the finiteness conditions $i)$ and $ii)$ of Def. 4.5.*

Proof.
i) Let V_1, V_2 be open sets in X such that $\overline{V}_1 \subset V_2$. We have to show that

$$\int^\sigma C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap V_1) \to \int^\sigma C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap V_2)$$

factors up to chain homotopy through a bounded chain complex of f.g. free abelian groups. Since X is a simplicial complex we can find a simplicial subcomplex Z such that $V_1 \to V_2$ factors up to homotopy through Z. Passing to the singular chain complex we get a factorization up to chain homotopy

$$S_*(\sigma \cap V_1) \longrightarrow S_*(\sigma \cap V_2)$$
$$S_*(\sigma \cap Z)$$

where the bottom term is chain homotopy equivalent to the simplicial chain complex $\triangle_*(\sigma \cap Z)$, which is a f.g. complex of free abelian groups. Since $C[\sigma]$ is f.g. and degreewise free we get a factorization up to chain homotopy

$$C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap V_1) \longrightarrow C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap V_2)$$
$$C[\sigma] \otimes \triangle_*(\sigma \cap Z)$$

and, applying coend, the desired result.

ii) We have to show that there is a compact subspace K of X such that $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{C})(U)$ is supported in K i.e. $\int^\sigma C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap U \cap K)$ depends only on K. Since C is an

X-based object there are only finitely many σ such that $C(\sigma) \neq 0$. These simplices span a subcomplex K and $\int^{\sigma} C[\sigma] \otimes S_*(\sigma \cap U \cap K)$ is supported in K. □

Proposition 5.8. *For $C \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X), \mathcal{F}(C)$ lies in $\mathcal{D}_X$.*

Proof. By the preceding lemmata $\mathcal{F}(C)$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_X$. It remains to show that it is in fact contained in the full subcategory of Def. 4.12. For every $\sigma \in X$, $K(\sigma)$ is in $\mathcal{D}_X$ and hence also the pushforward $\iota_{\sigma*}K(\sigma)$. We can view $C[\sigma]$ as an element in $\mathcal{D}_{pt}$. It follows from [RW10, 6.5] that $C[\sigma] \otimes \iota_{\sigma*}K(\sigma)$ is in $\mathcal{D}_X$. Since the coend $\int^{\sigma} C[\sigma] \otimes \iota_{\sigma*}K(\sigma)$ is given by a direct sum modulo the image of a direct sum it is also in $\mathcal{D}_X$. □

Lemma 5.9. *For a simplicial map $f : X \to X'$ and a $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-module chain complex C there is a natural transformation of functors $\eta : f_*\mathcal{F}(C) \to \mathcal{F}(f_*C)$ with η_U being a homotopy equivalence for every open set $U \subset X'$. Furthermore for f injective, η_U is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let C be in $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$. We want to show that the obvious natural map

$$\int^{\sigma \in X} C[\sigma] \otimes (f\iota_\sigma)_*K(\sigma)(U) \to \int^{\sigma' \in X'} f_*C[\sigma'] \otimes \iota_{\sigma'*}K(\sigma')(U)$$

is a chain homotopy equivalence for every open U in X'. It is sufficient to show this statement for $(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-module chain complexes which are concentrated in one degree in which they are free on one generator. Let C be one of these, i.e.

$$C_i = \begin{cases} M_\sigma & i = k \\ 0 & i \neq k \end{cases}$$

where for a simplex σ in X, M_σ is free on one generator (cf. Rm. 3.3). We use Rm. 5.4 to rewrite the above in degree n as

$$M_\sigma(\sigma)_k \otimes S_{n-k}(\sigma \cap f^{-1}(U)) \to M_\sigma(\sigma)_k \otimes S_{n-k}(f(\sigma) \cap U).$$

Now $\sigma \cap f^{-1}(U)$ is nonempty if and only if $f(\sigma) \cap U$ is and both terms are naturally equivalent to $M_\sigma(\sigma) = \mathbb{Z}$. Otherwise both are zero. If f is injective

$$S_j(\sigma \cap f^{-1}(U)) \xrightarrow{f_*} S_j(f(\sigma) \cap U)$$

is an isomorphism. □

6. MAP BETWEEN ⊠ PRODUCTS

We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *There is homotopy equivalence*

$$M \boxtimes_{\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z}, X)}} N \simeq \int^{\sigma} M[\sigma] \otimes N[\sigma] \otimes \triangle_*(\sigma)$$

which is natural in both components.

Proof. With the natural homotopy equivalence

$$M[\sigma] \otimes N[\sigma] \otimes \triangle_*(\sigma) \simeq M[\sigma] \otimes N[\sigma]$$

the homotopy coend becomes a homotopy colimit. Since $\sigma \mapsto M[\sigma]$ is a Reedy cofibrant functor to chain complexes, the colimit computes the homotopy colimit:

$$M \boxtimes_{\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z}, X)}} N = \operatorname*{colim}_{\sigma \in X} M[\sigma] \otimes N[\sigma] \simeq \operatorname*{hocolim}_{\sigma \in X} M[\sigma] \otimes N[\sigma].$$

$\square$

This is the model we will be working with. Now we can formulate a local criterion for nondegeneracy in $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$.

Lemma 6.2. *Given two complexes $C, D \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$. For each $\sigma \in X$ there is a map*

$$L : C \boxtimes_{\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z}, X)}} D \to C(\sigma) \otimes D[\sigma] \otimes \triangle_*(\sigma, \partial \sigma).$$

A cycle $\phi \in C \boxtimes D$ is nondegenerate if and only if its image in

$$\prod_\sigma C(\sigma) \otimes D[\sigma] \otimes \triangle_*(\sigma, \partial \sigma)$$

is nondegenerate.

Proof. To give a map from the homotopy coend it is sufficient to give a map from each component

$$f_\alpha : C[\alpha] \otimes D[\alpha] \otimes \triangle_*(\alpha) \to C(\sigma) \otimes D[\sigma] \otimes \triangle_*(\sigma, \partial \sigma)$$

consistent with inclusions

$$C[\alpha] \otimes D[\alpha] \otimes \triangle_*(\beta) \to C[\alpha] \otimes D[\alpha] \otimes \triangle_*(\alpha)$$

for $\beta \le \alpha$ and

$$C[\gamma] \otimes D[\gamma] \otimes \triangle_*(\alpha) \to C[\alpha] \otimes D[\alpha] \otimes \triangle_*(\alpha)$$

for $\gamma \ge \alpha$. Define f_α to be the obvious quotient map if $\alpha = \sigma$ and 0 otherwise. It is easy to see that the f_α are consistent in the above sense because of the special form of the domain: everything which comes from a bigger or a smaller simplex is quotiented out in the domain. The second statement follows from [RW90, Prop. 2.7] $\square$

Proposition 6.3.

a) *For $C, D \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ there is a map*

$$H = H_{C,D} : C \boxtimes D \to \mathcal{F}(C) \boxtimes \mathcal{F}(D)$$

natural in both arguments.

b) *Let ϕ be a nondegenerate cycle in $C \boxtimes D$. Its image $H(\phi)$ is also nondegenerate in $\mathcal{F}(C) \boxtimes \mathcal{F}(D)$.*

Proof. a) Given a $C \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, $\mathcal{F}(C)$ can be expressed as a global coend

$$\mathcal{F}(C) = \int^{\sigma} C[\sigma] \otimes \iota_{\sigma*} K(\sigma)$$

as in Rm. 5.3. Since σ is a manifold with boundary, there exists a chain $z_{\sigma} \in \triangle_{|\sigma|}(\sigma)$ mapping to a fundamental cycle in $\triangle_{|\sigma|}(\sigma, \partial\sigma)$. For two objects $C, D \in \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ let

$$h_1 : C[\sigma] \otimes D[\sigma] \otimes \triangle_*(\sigma) \to C[\sigma] \otimes D[\sigma] \otimes \iota_{\sigma*} K(\sigma) \boxtimes \iota_{\sigma*} K(\sigma)$$

be the composition of $id \otimes \nabla$ and the pushforward on the boxtimes component, where ∇ is the refinement of the Alexander-Whitney diagonal approximation

$$\nabla : S_*(\sigma) \to K(\sigma) \boxtimes K(\sigma)$$

mentioned in Def. 4.20 composed with the map

$$\triangle_*(\sigma) \to S_*(\sigma).$$

Let

$$C[\sigma] \otimes D[\sigma] \otimes \quad \iota_{\sigma*} K(\sigma) \boxtimes \iota_{\sigma*} K(\sigma) \xrightarrow{h_2(U, K_1, K_2)}$$
$$C[\sigma] \otimes S_*((U, U \setminus K_1) \cap \sigma) \otimes D[\sigma] \otimes S_*((U, U \setminus K_2) \cap \sigma)$$

be the composition of the corresponding homotopy projection and the transposition of the inner components. By the universal property we get a map to the homotopy limit

$$C[\sigma] \otimes D[\sigma] \quad \otimes \iota_{\sigma*} K(\sigma) \boxtimes \iota_{\sigma*} K(\sigma) \xrightarrow{h_2}$$
$$\operatorname*{holim}_{(U, K_1, K_2)} C[\sigma] \otimes S_*((U, U \setminus K_1) \cap \sigma) \otimes D[\sigma] \otimes S_*((U, U \setminus K_2) \cap \sigma)$$
$$= C[\sigma] \otimes \iota_{\sigma*} K(\sigma) \boxtimes D[\sigma] \otimes \iota_{\sigma*} K(\sigma)$$

By taking the homotopy coend of $h_2 \circ h_1$ we get

$$\int^{\sigma} C[\sigma] \otimes D[\sigma] \otimes \triangle_*(\sigma) \to \int^{\sigma} C[\sigma] \otimes \iota_{\sigma*} K(\sigma) \boxtimes D[\sigma] \otimes \iota_{\sigma*} K(\sigma)$$

which we compose with the obvious inclusion

$$\int^{\sigma} C[\sigma] \otimes \iota_{\sigma*} K(\sigma) \boxtimes D[\sigma] \otimes \iota_{\sigma*} K(\sigma) \to \int^{\sigma} C[\sigma] \otimes \iota_{\sigma*} K(\sigma) \boxtimes \int^{\sigma} D[\sigma] \otimes \iota_{\sigma*} K(\sigma)$$

and the latter is $\mathcal{F}(C) \boxtimes \mathcal{F}(D)$ per construction. The required map is

$$H = incl \circ \int^{\sigma} (h_2 \circ h_1).$$

b) We make use of the local criterion in [RW10, Prop.5.8]. For every open set U in X and every $j \geq 0$, we have to show that the slant product with the corresponding projection of $H(\phi)$

$$\backslash H(\phi)_U : \operatorname*{colim}_{K \subset U} \mathcal{F}(C)(U, U \setminus K)^{n-j} \to \mathcal{F}(D)(U)_j$$

is a chain homotopy equivalence. Assume we have already shown it for open sets which are unions of open stars in an arbitrary subdivision of X. Then we can use the same argument as in the standard proof of Poincaré duality. Cover an arbitrary open set by unions of open stars and use the Mayer-Vietoris sequence and Zorn's lemma if needed. Hence the proof of b) reduces to the next lemma. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 6.4. *If U is a union of open stars in any (barycentric) finite subdivision $X^{(n)}$ of X then the slant product with the corresponding projection of $H(\phi)$*

$$\operatorname*{colim}_{K \subset U} \mathcal{F}(C)(U, U \setminus K)^{n-*} \to \mathcal{F}(D)(U)_*$$

is a natural chain homotopy equivalence

Proof. We prove the statement for unions of open stars in the original simplicial complex X first. Let U be an open star $\mathring{st}(\tau) = \bigcup_{\sigma \geq \tau} \mathring{\sigma}$. The system $(U \setminus K)_K$ with $(U \setminus K)$ homotopy equivalent to $(U \setminus \hat{\tau})$, where $\hat{\tau}$ is the barycenter of τ, is cofinal in the system of all $(U \setminus K)$. Therefore the map in the statement of the lemma can be rephrased

$$\mathcal{F}(C)(\mathring{st}(\tau), \mathring{st}(\tau) \setminus \hat{\tau})^{n-*} \to \mathcal{F}(D)(\mathring{st}(\tau))_*.$$

This is a homotopy equivalence if and only if the component of $H(\phi)$ in

$$\mathcal{F}(C)(\mathring{st}(\tau), \mathring{st}(\tau) \setminus \hat{\tau}) \otimes \mathcal{F}(D)(\mathring{st}(\tau))$$

is nondegenerate. We have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
C \boxtimes D & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad H \quad\quad} & \mathcal{F}(C) \boxtimes \mathcal{F}(D) \\
\Big\downarrow {\scriptstyle L} & & \Big\downarrow {\scriptstyle proj} \\
C(\tau) \otimes D[\tau] \otimes \triangle_*(\tau, \partial\tau) & \xrightarrow[id \otimes \nabla]{} & \mathcal{F}(C)(\mathring{st}(\tau), \mathring{st}(\tau) \setminus \hat{\tau}) \otimes \mathcal{F}(D)(\mathring{st}(\tau))
\end{array}
$$

Let us take a closer look at the first tensor factor in the right bottom corner. The coend varies over terms of the form

$$C[\rho] \otimes S(\mathring{\rho} \cup \bigcup_{\rho > \sigma \geq \tau} \mathring{\sigma}, \mathring{\rho} \cup \bigcup_{\rho > \sigma \geq \tau} \mathring{\sigma} \setminus \hat{\tau}).$$

Using Rm. 5.4 we can view it as a sum

$$C(\tau) \otimes S(\mathring{\tau}, \mathring{\tau} \setminus \hat{\tau}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\rho > \tau} C(\rho) \otimes S(\mathring{\rho} \cup \bigcup_{\rho > \sigma \geq \tau} \mathring{\sigma}, \mathring{\rho} \cup \bigcup_{\rho > \sigma \geq \tau} \mathring{\sigma} \setminus \hat{\tau}).$$

By excision we have

$$S(\mathring{\rho} \cup \bigcup_{\rho > \sigma \geq \tau} \mathring{\sigma}, \mathring{\rho} \cup \bigcup_{\rho > \sigma \geq \tau} \mathring{\sigma} \setminus \hat{\tau}) \simeq S(\mathring{\rho}, \mathring{\rho}) \simeq 0$$

and deduce that $\mathcal{F}(C)(\mathring{st}(\tau), \mathring{st}(\tau) \setminus \hat{\tau}) \simeq C(\tau) \otimes S(\mathring{\tau}, \mathring{\tau} \setminus \hat{\tau}) \simeq S^{|\tau|} C(\tau)$.

Because of Lemma 5.5 the second tensor factor $\mathcal{F}(D)(\mathring{st}(\tau))$ is naturally equivalent to $D[\tau]$. Thus we have shown the natural equivalence

$$\mathcal{F}(C)(\mathring{st}(\tau), \mathring{st}(\tau) \setminus \hat{\tau}) \otimes \mathcal{F}(C)(\mathring{st}(\tau)) \simeq S^{|\tau|} C(\tau) \otimes D[\tau]$$

and can rewrite the above commutative square as

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
C \boxtimes D & \xrightarrow{\quad H \quad} & \mathcal{F}(C) \boxtimes \mathcal{F}(D) \\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle L} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle proj} \\
C(\tau) \otimes D[\tau] \otimes \triangle_*(\tau, \partial\tau) & \xrightarrow[\quad id \otimes \nabla \quad]{} & S^{|\tau|} C(\tau) \otimes D[\tau]
\end{array}
$$

The projection of $H(\phi)$ is nondegenerate if the anticlockwise composition maps ϕ to a nondegenerate cycle. Since the map

$$
C(\tau) \otimes D[\tau] \otimes \triangle_*(\tau, \partial\tau) = S^{|\tau|} C(\tau) \otimes D[\tau] \xrightarrow{id \otimes \nabla} S^{|\tau|} C(\tau) \otimes D[\tau]
$$

is homotopic to identity this is obvious.

Let U now be a finite union of open stars. Since the intersection of two open stars is an open star the statement of the lemma for U follows from a Mayer-Viertoris argument and the corresponding case of a single star. To deal with (unions of) open stars in a finite subdivision $X^{(n)}$ we proceed entirely analogously to the above by considering the images $C^{(n)}, D^{(n)}$ in the category $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Z}, X^{(n)})$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules over the n-th barycentric subdivision. That this makes sense and, more importantly, that the nondegeneracy of a cycle in $C \boxtimes D$ is preserved after passing to subdivisions (and looking at duality properties over smaller open stars) is the content of the next lemma.

$\square$

Lemma 6.5. *Let X' denote the barycentric subdivision of the simplicial complex X. There exists a functor of weak algebraic bordism categories from $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ to $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X')$.*

Proof. To some extent this seems to be folklore. Let C be an object in $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$. Following the same idea as in extending cosheaves over open stars to cosheaves over arbitrary open sets we can set

$$
C'[\hat\sigma_{i_1} \ldots \hat\sigma_{i_k}] = C[\sigma_{i_k}] \otimes \triangle_*(\hat\sigma_{i_1} \ldots \hat\sigma_{i_k}).
$$

This value of C' over $\hat\sigma_{i_1} \ldots \hat\sigma_{i_k}$ is now determined by

$$
C'(\hat\sigma_{i_1} \ldots \hat\sigma_{i_k}) = C'[\hat\sigma_{i_1} \ldots \hat\sigma_{i_k}] \Big/ \operatorname*{colim}_{\sigma' \geq \hat\sigma_{i_1} \ldots \hat\sigma_{i_k}} C'[\sigma'].
$$

Working out the effect on the morphisms one can show that C' is in $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X')$. This assignment is also similar in spirit to the algebraic subdivision functor of Adams-Florou (cf. [AF]). Using his explicit description of duals one should be able to show that subdivision is a functor of algebraic bordism categories. $\square$

7. EQUIVALENCE OF L-SPECTRA

The next theorem presents our main result.

Theorem 7.1. The functor $\mathcal{F}$ of Def. 5.1 induces equivalences of spectra

$$\mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_X), \qquad \mathbf{L}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{L}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_X)$$

and in particular for every $n \geq 0$ isomorphisms

$$L^n(\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)}) \xrightarrow{\cong} L^n(\mathcal{K}_X), \text{ and } L_n(\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)}) \xrightarrow{\cong} L_n(\mathcal{K}_X).$$

Proof. We treat the symmetric case only, the quadratic one being completely analogous. Observe that $\mathcal{F}$ maps $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$-contractible objects of $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ to $\mathcal{C}_X$-contractible cosheaves in $\mathcal{D}_X$. The natural transformation H of Prop. 6.3 makes the functor $\mathcal{F}$ into a functor of weak algebraic bordism categories. Thus, by Prop. 2.10 there is an induced map of spectra

$$\mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)}) \to \mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_X).$$

For a map of simplicial complexes $f : X \to Y$ we get a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)}) & \xrightarrow{\ \mathcal{F}\ } & \mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_X) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle f_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_*} \\
\mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},Y)}) & \xrightarrow{\ \mathcal{F}\ } & \mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_Y)
\end{array}$$

which is commutative because of Lemma 5.9. Thus $\mathcal{F}$ is a natural transformation between functors $X \mapsto \mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{Z},X)})$ and $X \mapsto \mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_X)$ and both are homotopy invariant and excisive because of Theorems 3.13 and 4.19. For a point pt there are isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z},pt)}) = \mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbf{L}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{K}_{pt})$$

which implies that $\mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism of homology theories.

$\square$

8. FINAL REMARKS

With insignificantly more effort all the results above can be proved for the simplicial complex X being replaced by a $\triangle$-set: the L-homology description of chain complexes of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules parametrised by a $\triangle$-set X (cf. [RW12]) can be canonically and naturally identified with the L-theory of $\mathcal{K}_{|X|}$.

Furthermore one can generalize the main theorem from $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients to coefficients in any commutative ring R with the trivial involution. Replacing the category of $\mathcal{O}(X)$-based free abelian groups $\mathcal{A}_X$ in Def. 4.1 by the analogous category of $\mathcal{O}(X)$-based free R-modules $\mathcal{A}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$ one constructs the weak algebraic bordism category $\mathcal{K}_X^R$, such that $\mathcal{K}_X^{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathcal{K}_X$. A generalisation of Thm. 7.1 provides then a functor of weak algebraic bordism categories

$$\mathcal{K}_{(R,X)} \to \mathcal{K}_X^R$$

inducing an isomorphism on symmetric and quadratic L-groups.

As pointed out in the introduction, a description of L-homology is the first step in the description of the assembly map and the explicit construction of the total

surgery obstruction. By constructing the functor F and showing the main theorem, this work merely builds a rope bridge between the combinatorial framework of Ranicki and the more flexible but less explicit language of Ranicki-Weiss.

We want to end with a very brief reminder of L-theory descriptions which exist in the literature. An honest sheaftheoretic description of L-homology, assembly map and total surgery obstruction was undertaken by Hutt in [Hut]. Unfortunately there is a mistake in this preprint and it was never published. In [Woo08] Woolf considers a triangulated version of Hutt's framework. Under the assumption that R is a regular Noetherian ring of finite Krull dimension and $\frac{1}{2} \in R$ he identifies Ranicki's construction of (free) symmetric L-homology $L^*(\mathcal{K}_{(R,X)})$ with the Witt groups (in the sense of Balmer, see [Bal05]) of the triangulated category (with duality) of constructible (w.r.t. the stratification induced by the simplicial structure) sheaves of R-module complexes $W^c_*(X)$. Putting these functors, along with $\pi_* \mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{K}_X)$, in one diagram we get

$$W^c_*(X) ~-~-~-~-~-~-~ \pi_* \mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{K}_X)$$

$$H_*(X; \mathbf{L}^\bullet(R))$$

where all three terms are isomorphic via the solid arrows. It seems natural to search for a construction of a canonical, geometric morphism for the dashed line.

On the other hand in his doctoral thesis [Epp07] Eppelmann gives a geometric description of a 2-connected cover of symmetric L-homology as a (singular) bordism homology $\Omega^{IP}_*(X)$ of spaces satisfying integral Poincaré duality in intersection homology. A natural question is how this description fits into the above diagram.

In comparing our work to [Woo08], it is important to remark that in the setup of [Woo08] the condition $\frac{1}{2} \in R$ cannot be dropped and Woolf's result cannot be generalised to integral coefficients. This restriction is specific to Balmer's theory. The L-theory of Ranicki-Weiss cosheaf complexes is different in nature since the duality is only given on the homotopy category. The necessity of inverting 2 however, also finds its way into [RW10]. To make their proof of topological invariance of rational Pontryagin classes independent of difficult arguments of [KS77], Ranicki and Weiss introduce the idempotent completion $r\mathcal{D}_X$ of the category $\mathcal{D}_X$ (see Def. 4.12) underlying $\mathcal{K}_X$. Excision for the functor $X \mapsto \mathbf{L}^\bullet(r\mathcal{D}_X)$ is only proved up to 2-torsion (cf. [RW10, Thm. 8.3]).

9. APPENDIX

It is apparent from section 3 that we are using the notion of homotopy limits (and colimits) of chain complexes in this article. The classical source quoted at this point is [BK72]. This deals with diagrams of simplicial sets or diagrams of topological spaces, which amounts to the same. Since the category of positively graded

chain complexes of R-modules is Quillen equivalent to the category of simplicial R-modules, we can basically use the original constructions for simplicial sets to get the right notions for chain complexes. A framework for homotopy limits in general model categories can be found in [Hir03] or [DHKS04]. For our purposes only the properties of homotopy (co)limits matter. Nevertheless, it might be convenient for the reader to see some explicit models. This section is highly non-original and the author benefited significantly from the exposition in [Dug].

Definition 9.1. Let C be a simplicial chain complex of abelian groups i.e. a functor from $\triangle^{op}$ to $Ch(\mathcal{A}b)$. Denote now by D^* the cosimplicial object in $Ch(\mathcal{A}b)$ given by taking the simplicial chain complex of the standard simplex $\triangle^n$ viewed as a simplicial space. Define the *realisation* of C by the coequaliser

$$\{C\} = \mathrm{coeq}\,\big[\bigoplus_{[n]\to[k]} C^k \otimes D^n \rightrightarrows \bigoplus_{[n]} C^n \otimes D^n\big]$$

where the top map is induced by $[n] \to [k]$ and the bottom by the standard map $\triangle^k \to \triangle^n$.

Dually, let C be a cosimplicial object in $Ch(\mathcal{A}b)$. Define its *totalisation* by the equaliser

$$\mathcal{T}ot(C) = \mathrm{eq}\,\big[\prod_{[n]} \mathrm{Hom}(D^n, C^n) \rightrightarrows \prod_{[n]\to[k]} \mathrm{Hom}(D^n, C^k)\big].$$

Definition 9.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a (small) category and $F : \mathcal{C} \to Ch(\mathcal{A}b)$ a functor to chain complexes of abelian groups. Define its *simplicial* replacement $\mathcal{S}rep(F)$ by the simplicial object in $Ch(\mathcal{A}b)$ given in degree n by

$$\mathcal{S}rep(F)_n = \bigoplus_{i_n \to i_{n-1} \to \cdots \to i_0} F(i_n)$$

with $i_n \to i_{n-1} \to \cdots \to i_0$ being a chain of composable maps in $\mathcal{C}$. For $0 \le j \le n$, the degeneracy map $s_j : \mathcal{S}rep(F)_n \to \mathcal{S}rep(F)_{n+1}$ sends $F(i_n)$ in the component with index

$$i_n \to i_{n-1} \to \cdots \to i_0$$

to $F(i_n)$ at

$$i_n \to i_{n-1} \to \cdots \to i_j \xrightarrow{id} i_j \to \cdots \to i_0.$$

For $0 \le j < n$ the face map $d_j : \mathcal{S}rep(F)_n \to \mathcal{S}rep(F)_{n-1}$ sends the copy of $F(i_n)$ at

$$i_n \to i_{n-1} \to \cdots \to i_0$$

to $F(i_n)$ sitting at

$$i_n \to i_{n-1} \to i_{j+1} \to i_{j-1} \to \cdots \to i_0$$

with $i_{j+1} \to i_{j-1}$ being the composition $i_{j+1} \to i_j \to i_{j-1}$. For $j = n$ the face map d_n maps $F(i_n)$ at

$$i_n \to i_{n-1} \to \cdots \to i_0$$

to $F(i_{n-1})$ at

$$i_n - 1 \to i_{n-1} \to \cdots \to i_0$$

via $F(i_n \to i_{n-1})$.

Dually, define the cosimplicial replacement $c\mathcal{S}rep(F)$ as a cosimplicial object in the category $Ch(\mathcal{A}b)$ given in degree n by

$$c\mathcal{S}rep(F)_n = \prod_{i_0 \to \cdots \to i_n} F(i_n)$$

with analogous coface and codegeneracy maps.

Definition 9.3. Let F be a functor from a small category $\mathcal{C}$ to chain complexes of abelian groups $Ch(\mathcal{A}b)$. Define the homotopy limit of F as

$$\operatorname*{holim}_{\mathcal{C}} F = \mathcal{T}ot(c\mathcal{S}rep(F)).$$

and dually the homotopy colimit of F as

$$\operatorname*{hocolim}_{\mathcal{C}} F = \{\mathcal{S}rep(F)\}.$$

For those familiar with homotopy limits and model categories, the next two propositions are fairly basic. We refer e.g. to [Hir03] for a thorough discussion.

Proposition 9.4. *Let F_1, F_2 be functors from a small category $\mathcal{C}$ to bounded chain complexes of projective R-modules, for any ring R. Assume there is a natural transformation $\eta : F_1 \Rightarrow F_2$ such that for every $c \in \mathcal{C}$, η_c is a weak equivalence. Then the induced map*

$$\operatorname{hocolim} F_1 \to \operatorname{hocolim} F_2$$

is also a weak equivalence.

A poset K is a Reedy category. A functor F from K to chain complexes is called *Reedy cofibrant* if and only if for every $b \in K$ the induced map

$$\operatorname*{colim}_{\substack{a \in K \\ a \neq b, a \to b}} F(a) \to F(b)$$

is a cofibration i.e. a degreewise split injection. We will make use of the following

Proposition 9.5. *Let X be a simplicial complex viewed as a poset in the obvious way. If a functor $F : X \to Ch(\mathcal{A}b)$ is Reedy cofibrant the colimit computes the homotopy colimit i.e. the canonical map*

$$\operatorname{colim} F \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{hocolim} F$$

is a weak equivalence.

The dual statements for homotopy limits are also valid.

What we also made use of in the main body of the article is a homotopy version of a coend. Following [ML98, IX,6] the coend of a given functor

$$F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$$

might be described as a colimit over the twisted arrow category $\mathcal{C}^{\natural}$ in the following way. Let the objects of $\mathcal{C}^{\natural}$ be morphisms $f : a \to b$ of $\mathcal{C}$. The morphisms between f and g are pairs of morphisms (h, j) in $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying $jgh = f$. There is a target-source

functor ts from $\mathcal{C}^{\natural}$ to $\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}$. The bifunctor F gives rise to a functor $F^{\natural} : \mathcal{C}^{\natural} \to \mathcal{D}$ via

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
& \mathcal{C}^{\natural} & \\
ts \downarrow & & \searrow F^{\natural} \\
\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\ F\ } & \mathcal{D}
\end{array}
$$

The coend of F can be defined via

$$
\int^{a \in \mathcal{C}} F(a, a) = \operatorname*{colim}_{(a \to b) \in \mathcal{C}^{\natural}} F^{\natural}(a \to b) = \operatorname*{colim}_{(a \to b) \in \mathcal{C}^{\natural}} F(b, a).
$$

In the same spirit define the homotopy coend.

Definition 9.6. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a small category and F a functor from $\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}$ to chain complexes of abelian groups $Ch(\mathcal{A}b)$. Its *homotopy coend* is defined by

$$
\operatorname{ho}\int^{a \in \mathcal{C}} F = \operatorname{ho}\int F = \operatorname{hocolim} F^{\natural} = \operatorname*{hocolim}_{(a \to b) \in \mathcal{C}^{\natural}} F(b, a).
$$

References

[AF]   Spiros Adams-Florou, *Homeomorphisms, homotopy equivalences and chain complexes*, eprint available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.3024.

[Bal05]   Paul Balmer, *Witt groups*, Handbook of K-theory. Vol. 1, 2, Springer, Berlin, 2005, pp. 539–576.

[BK72]   A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 304, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

[DHKS04] William G. Dwyer, Philip S. Hirschhorn, Daniel M. Kan, and Jeffrey H. Smith, *Homotopy limit functors on model categories and homotopical categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 113, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[Dol80]   Albrecht Dold, *Lectures on algebraic topology*, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 200, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.

[Dug]   Daniel Dugger, *A primer on homotopy colimits*, unpublished notes available at http://math.uoregon.edu/~ddugger/hocolim.pdf.

[Epp07]   Thorsten Eppelmann, *Signature homology and symmetric L-theory*, Ph.D. Thesis, 2007, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg.

[Hir03]   Philip S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 99, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. MR 1944041 (2003j:18018)

[Hut]   Stephen Hutt, *Poincaré sheaves and topological spaces*, preprint.

[KS77]   Robion C. Kirby and Laurence C. Siebenmann, *Foundational essays on topological manifolds, smoothings, and triangulations*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1977, With notes by John Milnor and Michael Atiyah, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 88. MR 0645390 (58 #31082)

[LM14]   Gerd Laures and James E. McClure, *Multiplicative properties of Quinn spectra*, Forum Math. **26** (2014), no. 4, 1117–1185. MR 3228927

[ML98]   Saunders Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

[Ran79]   Andrew Ranicki, *The total surgery obstruction*, Algebraic topology, Aarhus 1978 (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Aarhus, Aarhus, 1978), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 763, Springer, Berlin, 1979, pp. 275–316.

[Ran92]   ———, *Algebraic L-theory and topological manifolds*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematcs, no. 123, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

[RW90]   Andrew Ranicki and Michael Weiss, *Chain complexes and assembly*, Math. Z. **204** (1990).

[RW10]   ———, *On the construction and topological invariance of the Pontryagin classes*, Geom. Dedicata **148** (2010).

[RW12]   ———, *On the algebraic L-theory of △-sets*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **8** (2012), no. 1, Special Issue: In honor of F. Thomas Farrell and Lowell E. Jones, 1–29.

[Woo08]   Jon Woolf, *Witt groups of sheaves on topological spaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. **83** (2008), no. 2, 289–326.

[WW98]   Michael Weiss and Bruce Williams, *Duality in Waldhausen categories*, Forum Math **10** (1998), no. 5, 533–603.

[WW00]   ———, *Products and duality in Waldhausen categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), no. 2, 689–709.

FREIE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, ARNIMALLEE 7, 14195 BERLIN

E-mail address: `filipp.levikov@fu-berlin.de`